

Military Affairs and Strategic Studies Committee

Provision of a Military Affairs & Strategic Studies Committee specialized in evaluating the project based on military sciences and strategic utilization, rather than on a purely technical or engineering basis .

The presence of a Military Affairs and Studies Committee is required because the evaluation of any innovation must be based on defense foresight and military planning,

such as comprehensive defensive capabilities, and how they are employed within military operations and strategic conflict environments, and not within theoretical models or technical evaluations.

Because its evaluation is conducted according to studies of strategic military sciences, defensive capability distribution planning, major defense strategies, and military operations engineering, within military defense sciences .

Committee Mandate :

The committee's mandate is limited to evaluation and the preparation of a report on defensive capability advantage and strategic employment.

The report shall be submitted to the competent sponsoring authority.

Ensuring Decision Independence and Institutional Integrity :

To ensure decision independence, it is required that committee members have no prior personal or professional knowledge, no functional, organizational, or institutional relationships, and that all discussions be strictly confined to the officially approved evaluation procedures.

Committee Composition :

Number of Officers :

The committee shall consist of no fewer than twenty (20) officers.

Officers' Specializations :

Military Studies (Officers specialized in Military Doctrine and Strategic Studies)

General Staff (Operations or Planning)

Air Defense Forces

Required Scientific and Professional

Competence of Committee Members :

Assessment of Tactical, Operational, and Strategic Threats

This Includes :

Military foresight analysis

Counter-military planning

Red Teaming

Ranks, Experience, and Qualifications :

The committee shall be composed exclusively of officers who :

Possess strategic leadership experience

Have participated in or supervised the planning or evaluation of military operations

Each member is required to have no less than fifteen (15) years of military service.

Each officer must hold verified high-level qualifications appropriate to the strategic nature of the assigned evaluation tasks.

ASSIGNED COMMITTEE TASKS :

EVALUATION OF DEFENSIVE CAPABILITY ADVANTAGE AND STRATEGIC EMPLOYMENT OF THE INNOVATION

THE COMMITTEE'S TASKS INCLUDE CONDUCTING A COMPREHENSIVE MILITARY EVALUATION OF A CLASSIFIED NATURE, FOCUSING ON THEORETICAL SIMULATION OF THE DEFENSIVE CAPABILITY ADVANTAGE ACHIEVED BY THE INNOVATION WITHIN COMPREHENSIVE DEFENSIVE CAPABILITIES.

THIS INCLUDES EXAMINING ITS SUITABILITY FOR EMPLOYMENT WITHIN DEFENSIVE DOCTRINE AND MODERN AND FUTURE CONFLICT SCENARIOS. THE EVALUATION ENCOMPASSES MILITARY FORESIGHT, SIMULATION OF COMPLEX MILITARY SCENARIOS, AND RELIES ON DEFENSIVE CAPABILITY ENHANCEMENT AND BATTLEFIELD MILITARY OUTCOMES AS THE PRIMARY REFERENCE FOR ASSESSMENT, WITHOUT LIMITING THE EVALUATION TO PURELY TECHNICAL OR THEORETICAL ANALYSIS.

ADOPTED EVALUATION ARCHITECTURE :

THE EVALUATION SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE [DEFENSE CONCEPT DESIGN MODEL] CMS-IDDA , AS THE COMPREHENSIVE REFERENCE ARCHITECTURE FOR EMPLOYING DEFENSIVE CAPABILITY ADVANTAGE WITHIN DEFENSE SCIENCES AND THE INTEGRATED STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK OF AIR DEFENSE.

TECHNICAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE :

PROVISION OF A TECHNICAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE BY THE PARTNER ENTITY, TASKED WITH CONDUCTING A PRELIMINARY TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT AT THE CONCEPT LEVEL ONLY, LIMITED TO VERIFYING GENERAL TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY AND INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY, WITHOUT ADDRESSING IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS .

Security and Confidentiality Clause :

The partner entity must formally accept and comply with the project's security and confidentiality protocol prior to any interaction, evaluation, or exchange of information .

Result-Based Evaluation Clause :

This project's evaluation is based on a relative and indicative comparison between the scale of human, industrial, and financial resources available for research and development on one hand, and the actual military outcomes achieved in contemporary conflict environments on the other.

Based on this relative comparison, the value of the innovation is not measured by abstract theoretical or purely technical criteria, but rather by its ability to enhance defensive capabilities, address a real and existing defense challenge, and achieve a defensive capability advantage that is strategically employable within complex conflict environments.

The evaluation also takes into account the practical viability of the innovation in comparison with currently deployed defensive solutions, specifically in terms of defensive performance within strategic conflict environments, and not in terms of technical specifications or theoretical claims.

The innovation is therefore regarded as a defensive capability advantage and a strategic asset, evaluated according to its strategic employment of military capabilities and its expected defensive outcomes in actual combat environments, rather than on desk-based reports or bureaucratic assessments disconnected from field reality.

The evaluation relies exclusively on actual military outcomes observed in field exercises, maneuvers, and combat-realistic simulated environments, as well as on the defensive value and operational advantage provided by the innovation as demonstrated through realistic defensive combat use and authentic operational scenarios.

The evaluation does not rely on theoretical reports, bureaucratic estimates, or abstract desk-based models, and is conducted based on the report issued by the Military Affairs & Strategic Studies Committee.

Decision-Making Clause :

The final decision regarding the project shall be taken by the competent senior sponsoring authority within the Ministry of Defense, based on the recommendations of the Military Affairs & Strategic Studies Committee. Following the completion of all evaluation activities, the committee shall submit its report, and the final decision shall be based exclusively on the effectiveness of the proposed solution in addressing existing defense challenges, without consideration of any non-professional factors .